

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.             | FI   | LING DATE  | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO |              |  |
|-----------------------------|--|------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------|--|
| 10/533,199                  | •  | 04/28/2005 | Masashi Okubo        | 0038-0454PUS1                       | 9028         |  |
| 2292                        | 7590   | 12/23/2005 |                      | EXAMINER                            |              |  |
| 2                           | BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747  BARRERA, RAMON |            |                      |                                     |              |  |
| FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747 |  |            |                      | ART UNIT                            | PAPER NUMBER |  |
|                             | ,  |            |                      | 2832                                |              |  |

DATE MAILED: 12/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  |   |  | n'  |
|--|---|--|-----|
|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |     |
|  | 10/533,199  | OKUBO, MASASHI   |     |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |     |
|  | Ramon M. Barrera  | 2832   |     |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap<br>Period for Reply  | ppears on the cover sheet with  | the correspondence address -   | •   |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI<br>WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [ - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1<br>after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period<br>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu<br>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailinearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAL 136(a). In no event, however, may a reput will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHE, cause the application to become ABAI   | ATION.  ly be timely filed  AS from the mailing date of this communical  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |     |
| Status   |   |  |     |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on   |   |  |     |
| 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Th   | is action is non-final.   |  |     |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allow  | ance except for formal matter   | s, prosecution as to the merits  | is  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under   | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.  | 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |     |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |  |     |
| <ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrasts.</li> <li>5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 9-16 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/</li> </ul>   | awn from consideration.   |  |     |
| Application Papers   |   |  |     |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 28 April 2005 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E  | a) accepted or b) objected or b) objected or b) objected or abeyanced or beld in abeyanced or beld in abeyanced or beld in abeyanced or beld in a beyond or beld in a | e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12  | . , |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |  |     |
| a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list  | nts have been received.  Its have been received in Apportity documents have been read (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   | olication No eceived in this National Stage  |     |
| Attachment(s)  |   |  |     |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) Interview Sur  |  |     |
| <ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08<br/>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/28/05</u>.</li> </ol>   |   | Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152) .  |     |

Application/Control Number: 10/533,199 Page 2

Art Unit: 2832

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mitsubishi (JP-49-91745), cited on applicant's IDS.

Mitsubishi in fig. 3 disclosed the claimed invention except for the bearing (coil bobbin) being made of a nonmagnetic body. It was commonly known to those of ordinary skill in the art that a coil bobbin may be made of nonmagnetic material such as plastic for the purpose of providing electrical insulation of the coil.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to employ a bearing (coil bobbin) of nonmagnetic material for the purpose recognized in the art of Mitsubishi, as discussed above.

## Allowable Subject Matter

1. Claims 9-16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2832

2. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Mitsubishi, the closest prior art of record, failed to teach or suggest a recess is formed in the bearing so that a part which is an upper end edge part of the groove provided in the slider and is located on a far side with respect to the bearing in an axial direction, does not contact the bearing in a range where the slider moves.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ramon M. Barrera whose telephone number is (571) 272-1987. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 11 to 5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Elvin G. Enad can be reached on (571) 272-1990. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ramon M Barrera
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2832